



Emily Riehl

Johns Hopkins University

Categorifying cardinal arithmetic

University of Chicago REU



Goal: prove $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$ for any natural numbers a , b , and c . by taking a tour of some deep ideas from category theory.

- Step 1: categorification
- Step 2: the Yoneda lemma
- Step 3: representability
- Step 4: the proof
- Epilogue: what was the point of that?



Step 1: categorification

The idea of categorification



The first step is to understand the equation

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$$

as expressing some deeper truth about mathematical structures.

Q: What is the deeper meaning of the equation

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)?$$

Q: What is the role of the natural numbers a , b , and c ?

Categorifying natural numbers



Q: What is the role of the natural numbers a , b , and c ?

A: Natural numbers define the **cardinalities**, or sizes, of finite sets.

Natural numbers a , b , and c encode the sizes of finite sets A , B , and C .

$$a := |A|, \quad b := |B|, \quad c := |C|.$$

Categorifying equality



Natural numbers a , b , and c encode the sizes of finite sets A , B , and C .

$$a := |A|, \quad b := |B|, \quad c := |C|.$$

Q: What is true of A and B if $a = b$?

A: $a = b$ if and only if A and B are **isomorphic**, which means there exist functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow A$ that are inverses in the sense that $g \circ f = \text{id}$ and $f \circ g = \text{id}$. In this case, we write $A \cong B$.

For $a := |A|$ and $b := |B|$,
the equation $a = b$ asserts the existence of an isomorphism $A \cong B$.

Eugenia Cheng: “All equations are lies.”

Categorification: the truth behind $a = b$ is $A \cong B$.



Q: What is the deeper meaning of the equation

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)?$$

The story so far:

- The natural numbers a , b , and c encode the sizes of finite sets A , B , and C :

$$a := |A|, \quad b := |B|, \quad c := |C|.$$

- The equation “=” asserts the existence of an isomorphism “ \cong ”.

Q: What is the deeper meaning of the symbols “+” and “ \times ”?

Categorifying +



Q: If $b := |B|$ and $c := |C|$ what set has $b + c$ elements?

A: The disjoint union $B + C$ is a set with $b + c$ elements.

$$B = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \# \\ b \\ \natural \end{array} \right\}, \quad C = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \spadesuit & \heartsuit \\ \diamondsuit & \clubsuit \end{array} \right\}, \quad B + C = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \# & b & \spadesuit & \heartsuit \\ \natural & & \diamondsuit & \clubsuit \end{array} \right\}$$

$$b + c := |B + C|$$

Categorifying \times



Q: If $a := |A|$ and $b := |B|$ what set has $a \times b$ elements?

A: The cartesian product $A \times B$ is a set with $a \times b$ elements.

$$A = \{ * \quad \star \}, \quad B = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \# \\ \flat \\ \natural \end{array} \right\}, \quad A \times B = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (*, \#) & (\star, \#) \\ (*, \flat) & (\star, \flat) \\ (*, \natural) & (\star, \natural) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$a \times b := |A \times B|$$

Categorifying cardinal arithmetic



In summary:

- Natural numbers define cardinalities: there are sets A , B , and C so that $a := |A|$, $b := |B|$, and $c := |C|$.
- The equation $a = b$ encodes an isomorphism $A \cong B$.
- The disjoint union $B + C$ is a set with $b + c$ elements.
- The cartesian product $A \times B$ is a set with $a \times b$ elements.

Q: What is the deeper meaning of the equation

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)?$$

A: It means that the sets $A \times (B + C)$ and $(A \times B) + (A \times C)$ are isomorphic!

$$A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$$

Summary of Step 1



Q: What is the deeper meaning of the equation

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)?$$

A: The sets $A \times (B + C)$ and $(A \times B) + (A \times C)$ are isomorphic!

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (*, \#) & (*, \#) \\ (*, b) & (*, b) \\ (*, \spadesuit) & (*, \spadesuit) \\ (*, \heartsuit) & (*, \heartsuit) \\ (*, \diamond) & (*, \diamond) \\ (*, \clubsuit) & (*, \clubsuit) \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} (*, \#) & (*, b) & (*, \spadesuit) & (*, \heartsuit) \\ & (*, \spadesuit) & (*, \diamond) & (*, \clubsuit) \\ (*, \#) & (*, b) & (*, \spadesuit) & (*, \heartsuit) \\ & (*, \spadesuit) & (*, \diamond) & (*, \clubsuit) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$$

By categorification:

Step 1 summary: To prove $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$
 \leadsto we'll instead show that $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$.



2

Step 2: the Yoneda lemma

The Yoneda lemma



The Yoneda lemma. Two sets A and B are isomorphic if and only if

- for all sets X , the sets of functions

$$\text{Fun}(A, X) := \{h: A \rightarrow X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fun}(B, X) := \{k: B \rightarrow X\}$$

are isomorphic and moreover

- the isomorphisms $\text{Fun}(A, X) \cong \text{Fun}(B, X)$ are “natural” in the sense of commuting with composition with any function $\ell: X \rightarrow Y$.

???

Proof of the Yoneda lemma



The Yoneda lemma. A and B are isomorphic if and only if for any X the sets of functions $\text{Fun}(A, X)$ and $\text{Fun}(B, X)$ are “naturally” isomorphic.

Proof (\Leftarrow): Suppose $\text{Fun}(A, X) \cong \text{Fun}(B, X)$ for all X . Taking $X = A$ and $X = B$, we use the bijections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Fun}(A, A) & \cong & \text{Fun}(B, A) & & \text{Fun}(A, B) & \cong & \text{Fun}(B, B) \\
 \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & g & & f & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{id}_B
 \end{array}$$

to define functions $g: B \rightarrow A$ and $f: A \rightarrow B$. By naturality:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & g \\
 \downarrow \cong & \lrcorner & \lrcorner \downarrow \\
 & \text{Fun}(A, A) \cong \text{Fun}(B, A) & \\
 & \downarrow f \circ - & \downarrow - \circ f \\
 & \text{Fun}(A, B) \cong \text{Fun}(B, B) & \\
 \downarrow \cong & \lrcorner & \lrcorner \downarrow \\
 f & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{id}_B = f \circ g
 \end{array}$$

and similarly $g \circ f = \text{id}_A$. □

Summary of Steps 1 and 2



By categorification:

Step 1 summary: To prove $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$
 \leadsto we'll instead show that $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$.

By the Yoneda lemma:

Step 2 summary: To prove $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$
 \leadsto we'll instead define a "natural" isomorphism
 $\text{Fun}(A \times (B + C), X) \cong \text{Fun}((A \times B) + (A \times C), X)$.



3

Step 3: representability

The universal property of the disjoint union



Q: For sets B , C , and X , what is $\text{Fun}(B + C, X)$?

Q: What is needed to define a function $f: B + C \rightarrow X$?

A: For each $b \in B$, we need to specify $f(b) \in X$, and for each $c \in C$, we need to specify $f(c) \in X$. So the function $f: B + C \rightarrow X$ is determined by two functions $f_B: B \rightarrow X$ and $f_C: C \rightarrow X$.

By “pairing”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(B + C, X) & \cong & \text{Fun}(B, X) \times \text{Fun}(C, X) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ f & \leftrightarrow & (f_B, f_C) \end{array}$$

A universal property of the cartesian product



Q: For sets A , B , and X , what is $\text{Fun}(A \times B, X)$?

Q: What is needed to define a function $f: A \times B \rightarrow X$?

A: For each $b \in B$ and $a \in A$, we need to specify an element $f(a, b) \in X$. Thus, for each $b \in B$, we need to specify a function $f(-, b): A \rightarrow X$ sending a to $f(a, b)$. So, altogether we need to define a function $f: B \rightarrow \text{Fun}(A, X)$.

By “currying”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(A \times B, X) & \cong & \text{Fun}(B, \text{Fun}(A, X)) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ f: A \times B \rightarrow X & \leftrightarrow & f: B \rightarrow \text{Fun}(A, X) \end{array}$$

Summary of Steps 1, 2, and 3



By categorification:

Step 1 summary: To prove $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$
 \leadsto we'll instead show that $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$.

By the Yoneda lemma:

Step 2 summary: To prove $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$
 \leadsto we'll instead define a "natural" isomorphism
 $\text{Fun}(A \times (B + C), X) \cong \text{Fun}((A \times B) + (A \times C), X)$.

By representability:

Step 3 summary:

- $\text{Fun}(B + C, X) \cong \text{Fun}(B, X) \times \text{Fun}(C, X)$ by "pairing" and
- $\text{Fun}(A \times B, X) \cong \text{Fun}(B, \text{Fun}(A, X))$ by "currying."



4

Step 4: the proof



Theorem. For any natural numbers a , b , and c ,

$$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c).$$

Proof: To prove $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$:

- pick sets A , B , and C so that $a := |A|$, and $b := |B|$, and $c := |C|$
- and show that $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$.
- By the Yoneda lemma, this holds if and only if, “naturally,”
 $\text{Fun}(A \times (B + C), X) \cong \text{Fun}((A \times B) + (A \times C), X)$.
- Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(A \times (B + C), X) &\cong \text{Fun}(B + C, \text{Fun}(A, X)) \text{ by “currying”} \\ &\cong \text{Fun}(B, \text{Fun}(A, X)) \times \text{Fun}(C, \text{Fun}(A, X)) \text{ by “pairing”} \\ &\cong \text{Fun}(A \times B, X) \times \text{Fun}(A \times C, X) \text{ by “currying”} \\ &\cong \text{Fun}((A \times B) + (A \times C), X) \text{ by “pairing.”} \end{aligned}$$





5

Epilogue: what was the point of that?

Generalization to infinite cardinals



Note we didn't actually need the sets A , B , and C to be finite.

Theorem. For any cardinals α , β , γ ,

$$\alpha \times (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha \times \beta) + (\alpha \times \gamma).$$

Proof: The one we just gave.

Exercise: Find a similar proof for other identities of cardinal arithmetic:

$$\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = \alpha^\beta \times \alpha^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma = \alpha^{\beta \times \gamma} = (\alpha^\gamma)^\beta.$$

Generalization to other mathematical contexts



In the discussion of representability or the Yoneda lemma, we didn't need A , B , and C to be sets at all!

Theorem.

- For vector spaces U, V, W ,

$$U \otimes (V \oplus W) \cong (U \otimes V) \oplus (U \otimes W).$$

- For nice topological spaces X, Y, Z ,

$$X \times (Y \sqcup Z) = (X \times Y) \sqcup (X \times Z).$$

- For abelian groups A, B, C ,

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B \oplus C) \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B) \oplus (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C).$$

Proof: The one we just gave.

The real point



The ideas of

- **categorification** (replacing equality by isomorphism),
- **the Yoneda lemma** (replacing isomorphism by natural isomorphism),
- **representability** (characterizing maps to or from an object),
- **limits** and **colimits** (like cartesian product and disjoint union), and
- **adjunctions** (such as currying)

are all over mathematics — so keep a look out!

Thank you!