

Johns Hopkins University

The ∞ -cosmos project

Formalizing 1-, 2-, $\mathcal{V}\text{-},$ and $\infty\text{-}category theory in Lean$

Abstract

The ∞ -cosmos project aims to leverage the existing libraries developing

- 1-category theory,
- 2-category theory, and
- enriched (\mathcal{V} -)category theory

in Lean to formalize basic ∞ -category theory.

After giving a high-level overview of the problem, plan, and progress of the project (so far), we illustrate some challenges that we have encountered related to the formalization of supporting results from 1-category theory, 2-category theory, and \mathcal{V} -category theory in hopes of attracting interest from folks who want to help us solve them.



1. What are ∞ -categories?

2. The problem, the plan, and progress

3. Challenges





What are ∞ -categories?

The idea of an ∞ -category

Lean defines an ordinary 1-category as follows:

```
class Quiver (V : Type u) where
   /-- The type of edges/arrows/morphisms between a given source and target. -/
   Hom : V \rightarrow V \rightarrow Sort v
class CategoryStruct (obj : Type u) extends Ouiver. \{y + 1\} obj : Type max u (y + 1) where
  /-- The identity morphism on an object. -/
  id : ∀ X : obj, Hom X X
  /-- Composition of morphisms in a category, written f \gg q. -/
  comp : \forall \{X \mid Z : obj\}, (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Z)
class Category (obj : Type u) extends CategoryStruct. \{v\} obj : Type max u (v + 1) where
  /-- Identity morphisms are left identities for composition. -/
  id comp : \forall \{X : obi\} (f : X \rightarrow Y). 1 X \gg f = f := by aesop cat
  /-- Identity morphisms are right identities for composition. -/
  comp id : \forall \{X : y : obi\} (f : X \rightarrow Y). f \gg 1 Y = f := by aesop cat
  /-- Composition in a category is associative. -/
  assoc : \forall {W X Y Z : obj} (f : W \rightarrow X) (g : X \rightarrow Y) (h : Y \rightarrow Z). (f \gg g) \gg h = f \gg g \gg h := bv
    aesop_cat
```

The idea of an ∞ -category is just to

- replace all the types by ∞ -groupoids aka homotopy types aka anima, i.e., the information of a topological space encoded by its homotopy groups
- and suitably weaken all the structures and axioms.

Quasi-categories in Lean

An elegant "coordinatization" of these ideas encodes an ∞ -category as a quasi-category, which Johan Commelin contributed to Mathlib:

```
/-- A simplicial set `S` is a *quasicategory* if it satisfies the following horn-filling condition:
for every `n : N` and `0 < i < n`,
every map of simplicial sets `\sigma_0 : \Lambda[n, i] \rightarrow S` can be extended to a map `\sigma : \Delta[n] \rightarrow S`.
[Kerodon, 003A] -/
class Quasicategory (S : SSet) : Prop where
hornFilling' : \forall \{n : N\} \{i : Fin \{n+3\}\} (\sigma_0 : \Lambda[n+2, i] \rightarrow S)
(\_h0 : 0 < i) (\_hn : i < Fin.last (n+2)),
\exists \sigma : \Delta[n+2] \rightarrow S, \sigma_0 = hornInclusion (n+2) i \gg \sigma
```

Here a simplicial set¹ S is a quasi-category if it satisfies a certain property: namely if any inner horn σ_0 in S can be extended to a simplex σ .

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda[n+2,i] \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} S \\ \text{hornInclusion } (n+2) i \int \\ \Delta[n+2] \end{array}$$

¹A simplicial set is a contravariant functor from a certain SimplexCategory to Type.

How are quasi-categories ∞ -categories?

A similar "coordinatization" of the notion of ∞ -groupoid is as a Kan complex, a simplicial set in which any outer horn can be extended to a simplex.

```
/-- A simplicial set `S` is a *Kan complex* if it satisfies the following horn-filling condition:
for every nonzero `n : N` and `0 ≤ i ≤ n`,
every map of simplicial sets `\sigma_0 : \Lambda[n, i] \rightarrow S` can be extended to a map `\sigma : \Lambda[n] \rightarrow S`. -/
class KanComplex (S : SSet.{u}) : Prop where
hornFilling : \forall qn : N_0 qi : Fin (n + 2)_0 (\sigma_0 : \Lambda[n + 1, i] \rightarrow S),
\exists \sigma : \Lambda[n + 1] \rightarrow S, \sigma_0 = hornInclusion (n + 1) i * \sigma
```

Then

- the maximal sub Kan complex in a quasi-category S defines the $\infty\mbox{-}{\rm groupoid}$ of objects,
- a certain pullback of the exponential sHom($\Delta[1],S)$ defines the $\infty\text{-groupoid}$ of arrows between two objects,
- *n*-ary composition can be shown to be well-defined up to a contractible ∞-groupoid of choices.

None of this has been formalized in Mathlib.





The problem, the plan, and progress

The problem and the plan

The objective is to add the theory of ∞ -categories to Mathlib, not just the definition. Textbooks that develop that theory using quasi-categories (Lurie's *Higher Topos Theory*, Cisinski's *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*) tend to be very long.

The idea of the ∞ -cosmos project is to develop the theory of ∞ -categories more abstractly, using the axiomatic notion of an ∞ -cosmos, which is an enriched category whose objects are ∞ -categories.

From this we can extract a 2-category whose objects are ∞ -categories, whose morphisms are ∞ -functors, and whose 2-cells are ∞ -natural transformations. The formal theory of ∞ -categories (adjunctions, co/limits, Kan extensions) can be defined using this 2-category and some of these notions are in the Mathlib already!

Proving that quasi-categories define an ∞ -cosmos will be hard, but this tedious verifying of homotopy coherences will only need to be done once rather than in every proof.

Progress

The ∞ -cosmos project was launched in September 2024. After adding some background material on enriched category theory, we have formalized the following definition:

1.2.1. Definition (∞ -cosmos). An ∞ -cosmos \mathcal{K} is a category that is enriched over quasi-categories,¹³ meaning in particular that

• its morphisms $f: A \to B$ define the vertices of a quasi-category denoted Fun(*A*, *B*) and referred to as a functor space,

that is also equipped with a specified collection of maps that we call **isofibrations** and denote by "------" satisfying the following two axioms:

- (i) (completeness) The quasi-categorically enriched category \mathcal{K} possesses a terminal object, small products, pullbacks of isofibrations, limits of countable towers of isofibrations, and cotensors with simplicial sets, each of these limit notions satisfying a universal property that is enriched over simplicial sets.¹⁴
- (ii) (isofibrations) The isofibrations contain all isomorphisms and any map whose codomain is the terminal object; are closed under composition, product, pullback, forming inverse limits of towers, and Leibniz cotensors with monomorphisms of simplicial sets; and have the property that if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an isofibration and X is any object then $Fun(X, A) \rightarrow Fun(X, B)$ is an isofibration of quasi-categories.

A formalized definition of an ∞ -cosmos

```
variable (K : Type u) [Category.{v} K] [SimplicialCategory K]
/-- A `PreInfinityCosmos` is a simplicially enriched category whose hom-spaces are guasi-categories
and whose morphisms come equipped with a special class of isofibrations.-/
class PreInfinityCosmos extends SimplicialCategory K where
  [has gcat homs : ∀ {X Y : K}. SSet.Ouasicategory (EnrichedCategory.Hom X Y)]
  IsIsofibration : MorphismProperty K
variable (K : Type u) [Category.{v} K][PreInfinityCosmos.{v} K]
/-- An `InfinityCosmos` extends a `PreInfinityCosmos` with limit and isofibration axioms..-/
class InfinityCosmos extends PreInfinityCosmos K where
  comp isIsofibration {A B C : K} (f : A + B) (g : B + C) : IsIsofibration (f.1 » g.1)
  iso isIsofibration {X Y : K} (e : X \rightarrow Y) [IsIso e] : IsIsofibration e
  all objects fibrant {X Y : K} (hY : IsConicalTerminal Y) (f : X \rightarrow Y) : IsIsofibration f
   [has products : HasConicalProducts K]
  prod map fibrant {v : Type w} {A B : v \rightarrow K} (f : \forall i. A i \Rightarrow B i) :
    IsIsofibration (Limits, Pi, map (\lambda i \mapsto (f i), 1))
   [has isoFibration pullbacks {E B A : K} (p : E * B) (f : A \rightarrow B) : HasConicalPullback p.1 f]
  pullback_is_isoFibration {E B A P : K} (p : E * B) (f : A \rightarrow B)
     (fst : P \rightarrow E) (snd : P \rightarrow A) (h : IsPullback fst snd p.1 f) : IsIsofibration snd
   [has limits of towers (F : \mathbb{N}^{\circ p} \Rightarrow K) :
     (\forall n : \mathbb{N}, \text{ IsIsofibration } (F, map (homOfLE (Nat.le succ n)), op)) \rightarrow \text{HasConicalLimit Fl}
  has limits of towers is Isofibration (F : \mathbb{N}^{\circ p} \Rightarrow K) (hf) :
     haveI := has limits of towers F hf
    IsIsofibration (limit.\pi F (.op 0))
   [has cotensors : HasCotensors K]
   leibniz cotensor {U V : SSet} (i : U \rightarrow V) [Mono i] {A B : K} (f : A * B) {P : K}
     (fst : P \rightarrow U \land A) (snd : P \rightarrow V \land B)
     (h : IsPullback fst snd (cotensorCovMap U f.1) (cotensorContraMap i B)) :
     IsIsofibration (h.isLimit.lift <|
       PullbackCone.mk (cotensorContraMap i A) (cotensorCovMap V f.1)
          (cotensor bifunctoriality i f.1)) -- TODO : Prove that these pullbacks exist.
   local isoFibration {X A B : K} (f : A * B) : Tsofibration (toFunMap X f.1)
```

Related contributions to Mathlib

One successful aspect of our project is the rapid rate of contributions to Mathlib:

- codiscrete categories (Alvaro Belmonte)
- reflexive quivers (Mario Carneiro, Pietro Monticone, Emily Riehl)
- the opposite category of an enriched category (Daniel Carranza)
- a closed monoidal category is enriched in itself (Daniel Carranza, Joël Riou)
- StrictSegal simplicial sets are 2-coskeletal (Mario Carneiro and Joël Riou)
- StrictSegal simplicial sets are quasicategories (Johan Commelin, Emily Riehl, Nick Ward)
- left and right lifting properties (Jack McKoen)
- SSet.hoFunctor, which constructs a category from a simplicial set (Mario Carneiro, Pietro Monticone, Emily Riehl, Joël Riou)
- SimplicialSet (co)skeleton properties (Mario Carneiro, Pietro Monticone, Emily Riehl, Joël Riou)

A key challenge is the extraordinary demands this has placed on Joël Riou as a reviewer.





Challenges

A challenge from 1-category theory

To define the 2-categorical quotient of an ∞ -cosmos (WIP), Mario Carneiro and I introduced reflexive quivers

```
/-- A reflexive quiver extends a quiver with a specified arrow `id X : X → X` for each `X` in its
type of objects. We denote these arrows by `id` since categories can be understood as an extension
of refl quivers.
-/
class ReflQuiver (obj : Type u) extends Quiver.{v} obj : Type max u v where
/-- The identity morphism on an object. -/
id : ∀ X : obj, Hom X X
```

and formalized the free category and underlying reflexive quiver adjunction between Cat and ReflQuiv. This is now in Mathlib:

```
/--
The adjunction between forming the free category on a reflexive quiver, and forgetting a category
to a reflexive quiver.
-/
nonrec def adj : Cat.freeRefl.{max u v, u} → ReflQuiv.forget :=
Adjunction.mkOfUnitCounit {
```

A challenge from 1-category theory, continued

```
left triangle := by
 ext V
 apply Cat.FreeRefl.lift unique'
 simp only [id_obj, Cat.free_obj, comp_obj, Cat.freeRefl_obj_\alpha, NatTrans.comp_app,
    forget obj, whiskerRight app, associator hom app, whiskerLeft app, id comp,
   NatTrans.id app']
 rw [Cat.id eq id. Cat.comp eq comp]
 simp only [Cat.freeRefl obj \alpha. Functor.comp id]
  rw [← Functor.assoc. ← Cat.freeRefl naturality. Functor.assoc]
 dsimp [Cat.freeRefl]
  rw [adj.counit.component eq' (Cat.FreeRefl V)]
 conv =>
   enter [1, 1, 2]
   apply (Quiv.comp_eq_comp (X := Quiv.of _) (Y := Quiv.of _) (Z := Quiv.of _) ..).symm
  rw [Cat.free.map comp]
 show ( >>> ((Quiv.forget >>> Cat.free).map (X := Cat.of ) (Y := Cat.of )
   (Cat.FreeRefl.guotientFunctor V))) >>> =
  rw [Functor.assoc, ← Cat.comp eq comp]
 conv => enter [1, 2]: apply Ouiv.adi.counit.naturality
  rw [Cat.comp eq comp. ← Functor.assoc. ← Cat.comp eq comp]
 conv => enter [1, 1]; apply Quiv.adj.left triangle components V.toQuiv
 exact Functor.id comp
```

Lean was confused by

- what category we're in when objects are type classes
- competing notations for functors
- whiskered commutative diagrams

A challenge from enriched category theory

6

What is an enriched category?

To borrow a distinction used by Peter May, the term "enriched" can be used as a compound noun — *enriched categories* — or as an adjective — *enriched* categories. In the noun form, an enriched category C has no preexisting underlying ordinary category, although we shall see … that the underlying unenriched 1-category can always be identified a posteriori. When used as an adjective, an enriched category C is perhaps most naturally an ordinary category, whose hom-sets can be given additional structure. — *Elements of* ∞ -*Category Theory*, Appendix A

Mathlib has both notions, referred to as enriched categories and enriched ordinary categories, respectively.

A challenge from enriched category theory, continued

```
variable (V : Type v) [Category.{w} V] [MonoidalCategory V]
class EnrichedCategory (C : Type u1) where
 Hom : C \rightarrow C \rightarrow V
  id (X : C) : 1 V \longrightarrow Hom X X
 comp (X Y Z : C) : Hom X Y ⊗ Hom Y Z → Hom X Z
  id_comp (X Y : C) : (\lambda (Hom X Y)).inv » id X \triangleright _ » comp X X Y = 1 _ := by aesop_cat
  comp_id (X Y : C) : (\rho_{-} (Hom X Y)).inv » \neg \dashv id Y » comp X Y Y = 1 \_ := by aesop_cat
  assoc (W X Y Z : C) : (\alpha___).inv » comp W X Y \triangleright _ » comp W Y Z =
    \triangleleft comp X Y Z \gg comp W X Z := by aesop cat
variable (V : Type u') [Category.{v'} V] [MonoidalCategory V]
  (C : Type u) [Category.{v} C]
/-- An enriched ordinary category is a category `C` that is also enriched
over a category V in such a way that morphisms X \rightarrow Y in C identify
to morphisms `1 V \longrightarrow (X \longrightarrow [V] Y)` in `V`. -/
class EnrichedOrdinaryCategory extends EnrichedCategory V C where
  /-- morphisms X \rightarrow Y in the category identify morphisms
     1 V \longrightarrow (X \longrightarrow [V] Y) in V \longrightarrow -/
  homEquiv {X Y : C} : (X \rightarrow Y) \simeq (1 V \rightarrow (X \rightarrow V))
  homEquiv_id (X : C) : homEquiv (1 X) = eId V X := by aesop_cat
  homEquiv_comp {X Y Z : C} (f : X \rightarrow Y) (g : Y \rightarrow Z) :
     homEquiv (f \gg q) = (\lambda ).inv \gg (homEquiv f \otimes homEquiv q) \gg
       eComp V X Y Z := by aesop cat
```

C

The enriched categories literature is less clear about this distinction.

A challenge from 2-category theory

On paper, 2-cells in a 2-category compose by pasting:

In Mathlib, the 2-cells displayed here belong to dependent types (over their boundary 1-cells and objects) and depending on how the whiskerings are encoded are not obviously composable at all:

e.g., is $R_3H_2L_2\eta_2G_1R_1$ composable with $R_3H_2\epsilon_2L_2G_1R_1?$

A challenge from 2-category theory

```
/-- The mates equivalence commutes with vertical composition. -/
theorem mateEquiv vcomp
     (\alpha : G_1 \gg L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \gg H_1) (B : G<sub>2</sub> \gg L_3 \rightarrow L_2 \gg H_2) :
     (mateEquiv (G := G_1 \gg G_2) (H := H_1 \gg H_2) adj<sub>1</sub> adj<sub>3</sub>) (leftAdjointSquare.vcomp \alpha \beta) =
        rightAdjointSquare.vcomp (mateEquiv adj1 adj2 \alpha) (mateEquiv adj2 adj3 \beta) := by
  unfold leftAdjointSquare.vcomp rightAdjointSquare.vcomp mateEquiv
  ext b
  simp only [comp obj, Equiv.coe fn mk, whiskerLeft comp, whiskerLeft twice, whiskerRight comp,
     assoc, comp app, whiskerLeft app, whiskerRight app, id obj, Functor.comp map,
    whiskerRight twicel
  slice rhs 1 4 => rw [+ assoc, + assoc, + unit_naturality (adj3)]
  rw [L3,map comp, R3,map comp]
  slice rhs 24 =>
     rw [\leftarrow R<sub>3</sub>,map comp, \leftarrow R<sub>3</sub>,map comp, \leftarrow assoc, \leftarrow L<sub>3</sub>,map comp, \leftarrow G<sub>2</sub>,map comp, \leftarrow G<sub>2</sub>,map comp]
     rw [← Functor.comp map G<sub>2</sub> L<sub>3</sub>. B.naturality]
  rw [(L<sub>2</sub> >>> H<sub>2</sub>).map_comp, R<sub>3</sub>.map_comp, R<sub>3</sub>.map_comp]
  slice rhs 45 =>
     rw [\leftarrow R_3.map comp, Functor.comp map L_2 _, \leftarrow Functor.comp map _ L_2, \leftarrow H_2.map comp]
     rw [adi2.counit.naturality]
  simp only [comp_obj, Functor.comp_map, map_comp, id_obj, Functor.id_map, assoc]
  slice rhs 45 =>
     rw [\leftarrow R_3.map\_comp, \leftarrow H_2.map\_comp, \leftarrow Functor.comp\_map _ L<sub>2</sub>, adj<sub>2</sub>.counit.naturality]
  simp only [comp_obj, id_obj, Functor.id_map, map_comp, assoc]
  slice rhs 3 4 =>
     rw [\leftarrow R<sub>3</sub>,map comp, \leftarrow H<sub>2</sub>,map comp, left triangle components]
  simp only [map id, id comp]
```

In the 2-category Cat, I formalized a proof that the unit η_2 and counit ϵ_2 cancel, but not via a 2-categorical pasting argument. As a result, Mathlib does not know this is true in any 2-category.

Contributors to the ∞ -cosmos project

Pietro Monticone and Patrick Massot helped us set up a blueprint (and website) to organize the workflow. So far formalizations (and preliminary mathematical work) have been contributed by:

Dagur Asgeirsson, Alvaro Belmonte, Mario Carneiro, Daniel Carranza, Johan Commelin, Jack McKoen, Pietro Monticone, Matej Penciak, Nima Rasekh, Emily Riehl, Joël Riou, Joseph Tooby-Smith, Adam Topaz, Dominic Verity, Nick Ward, and Zeyi Zhao.

Anyone is welcome to join us!

emilyriehl.github.io/infinity-cosmos

Thank you!