The mathematics of voting:

on how to count the votes so that your vote counts

Emily Riehl



Suppose Amy and Beto are running for office. How do we decide who wins?

Suppose Amy and Beto are running for office. How do we decide who wins?

★ Everyone* votes.

Suppose Amy and Beto are running for office. How do we decide who wins?

★ Everyone* votes.

★ Whichever candidate gets the most votes wins.

Suppose Amy and Beto are running for office. How do we decide who wins?

★ Everyone* votes.

★ Whichever candidate gets the most votes wins.

It makes sense that in a head-to-head race, whoever receives the majority of the votes should win.

★ Everyone* votes.

- ★ Everyone* votes.
- ★ Whichever candidate gets the most votes wins?

- ★ Everyone* votes.
- ★ Whichever candidate gets the most votes wins?

This is the system used to determine the winner in most states' presidential primaries: whoever gets a plurality of votes wins.

Amy, Andrew, Bernie, Beto, Cory, Elizabeth, Eric, Jay, Joe, John, John, Julián, Kamala, Kirsten, Marianne, Michael, Mike, Pete, Seth, Tim, Tulsi, Wayne

Amy, Andrew, Bernie, Beto, Cory, Elizabeth, Eric, Jay, Joe, John, John, Julián, Kamala, Kirsten, Marianne, Michael, Mike, Pete, Seth, Tim, Tulsi, Wayne

- ★ The winner might earn a very low proportion of the vote
 - with 22 candidates you may need only 5 percent to win!

Amy, Andrew, Bernie, Beto, Cory, Elizabeth, Eric, Jay, Joe, John, John, Julián, Kamala, Kirsten, Marianne, Michael, Mike, Pete, Seth, Tim, Tulsi, Wayne

- ★ The winner might earn a very low proportion of the vote
 - with 22 candidates you may need only 5 percent to win!
- ★ The rankings can change dramatically if any candidate drops out.

Amy, Andrew, Bernie, Beto, Cory, Elizabeth, Eric, Jay, Joe, John, John, Julián, Kamala, Kirsten, Marianne, Michael, Mike, Pete, Seth, Tim, Tulsi, Wayne

- ★ The winner might earn a very low proportion of the vote
 - with 22 candidates you may need only 5 percent to win!
- ★ The rankings can change dramatically if any candidate drops out.
- ★ If polling identifies likely front runners, voters may choose to vote strategically for a candidate who is not their true top choice.

★ In the plurality method, each voter votes for one candidate.

- ★ In the plurality method, each voter votes for one candidate.
- ★ In the vote-for-two method, each voter votes for two candidates.

- ★ In the plurality method, each voter votes for one candidate.
- ★ In the vote-for-two method, each voter votes for two candidates.

* ...

- ★ In the plurality method, each voter votes for one candidate.
- ★ In the vote-for-two method, each voter votes for two candidates.
- * …
- ★ In the anti-plurality method, each voter votes for all but one of the candidates, effectively casting a vote *against* their last choice.

- ★ In the plurality method, each voter votes for one candidate.
- ★ In the vote-for-two method, each voter votes for two candidates.
- * …
- ★ In the anti-plurality method, each voter votes for all but one of the candidates, effectively casting a vote *against* their last choice.

As we shall discover, the voting system matters: the candidate who wins a plurality election, might not win with vote-for-two or with anti-plurality.

In the GPA method, each voter ranks all *n* candidates.

★ Their first choice earns n-1 points.

★ Their second choice earns n–2 points.

* …

★ Their second to last choice earns 1 point.

★ Their last choice earns 0 points.

The candidate with the most points —"the highest GPA" — wins.

In the GPA method, each voter ranks all *n* candidates.

- ★ Their first choice earns n-1 points.
- ★ Their second choice earns n–2 points.
- * …
- ★ Their second to last choice earns 1 point.
- ★ Their last choice earns 0 points.

The candidate with the most points —"the highest GPA" — wins.

- In approval voting each voter may
- ★ vote for one candidate or
- ★ vote for two candidates or
- * …
- ★ vote for all but one of the candidates
- ...and each voter gets to decide how many candidates to vote for!

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz
two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto
two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy
three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

Suppose there are nine voters and four candidates:

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz
two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto
two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy
three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

 \star Amy wins plurality: Amy (4) > Liz (3) > Cory (2) > Beto (0)

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz
two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto
two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy
three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

- \star Amy wins plurality: Amy (4) > Liz (3) > Cory (2) > Beto (0)
- \star Beto wins vote-for-two: Beto (7) > Liz (5) > Amy (4) > Cory (2)

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz
two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto
two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy
three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (4) > Liz(3) > Cory(2) > Beto(0)
- \star Beto wins vote-for-two: Beto (7) > Liz (5) > Amy (4) > Cory (2)
- * Cory wins anti-plurality: Cory (9) > Beto (7) = Liz (7) > Amy (4)

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz
two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto
two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy
three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

- ★ Amy wins plurality: Amy (4) > Liz (3) > Cory (2) > Beto (0)
- ★ Beto wins vote-for-two: Beto (7) > Liz (5) > Amy (4) > Cory (2)
- * Cory wins anti-plurality: Cory (9) > Beto (7) = Liz (7) > Amy (4)
- \star Liz wins GPA: Liz (15) > Beto (14) > Cory (13) > Amy (12)

Suppose there are nine voters and four candidates:

```
two voters prefer Amy > Beto > Cory > Liz two voters prefer Amy > Liz > Cory > Beto two voters prefer Cory > Beto > Liz > Amy three voters prefer Liz > Beto > Cory > Amy
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (4) > Liz(3) > Cory(2) > Beto(0)
- * Beto wins vote-for-two: Beto (7) > Liz (5) > Amy (4) > Cory (2)
- ★ Cory wins anti-plurality: Cory (9) > Beto (7) = Liz (7) > Amy (4)
- ★ Liz wins GPA: Liz (15) > Beto (14) > Cory (13) > Amy (12)

And any candidate could win with approval voting depending on how many candidates each voter chooses to vote for!

Multi-round voting systems simulate elections with runoffs after eliminating some candidates — but voters only cast their ballots once.

Multi-round voting systems simulate elections with runoffs after eliminating some candidates — but voters only cast their ballots once.

In ranked-choice voting each voter ranks all of the candidates:

- ★ If no candidate wins a majority of first-place votes, then the candidate with fewest first-place votes is eliminated.
- ★ For each voter whose top choice has been eliminated, their vote is re-allocated to their next choice.
- ★ If no candidate wins a majority when the re-allocated votes are included, then the candidate with fewest first-place votes is eliminated.
- ★ For each voter whose top choice has been eliminated, their vote is re-allocated to their next choice.
- ★ Eventually, there is a majority winner, who wins the election.

round 1	1st	percent
Poliquin	133,954	46.4%
Golden	131,781	45.6%
Bond	16,408	5.7%
Hoar	6,778	2.3%

round 1	1st	percent	2nd choi	2nd choice of Hoar voters		
Poliquin	133,954	46.4%	Poliquin	863	12.6%	
Golden	131,781	45.6%	Golden	1,172	17.3%	
Bond	16,408	5.7%	Bond	2,534	37.4%	
Hoar	6,778	2.3%	_	2,209	32.6%	

round 1	1st	percent	2nd cho	2nd choice of Hoar voters		
Poliquin	133,954	46.4%	Poliquir	863	12.6%	
Golden	131,781	45.6%	Golder	1,172	17.3%	
Bond	16,408	5.7%	Bond	2,534	37.4%	
Hoar	6,778	2.3%		2,209	32.6%	
		'		'	'	
round 2	1st/2nd	percent				
Poliquin	134,817	47.0%				

132,953

18,942

46.4% 6.6%

Golden

Bond

round 1	1st	percent		2nd choice of Hoar voters		
Poliquin	133,954	46.4%	-	Poliqui	n 863	3 12.6%
Golden	131,781	45.6%		Golde	n 1,172	2 17.3%
Bond	16,408	5.7%		Bon	d 2,534	37.4%
Hoar	6,778	2.3%		_	32.6%	
		'				'
round 2	1st/2nd	percent	ne	ext pick,	Bond/H	oar voters
Poliquin	134,817	47.0%	Po	oliquin	3,593	14.7%
Golden	132,953	46.4%		Golden	8,816	36.1%
Bond	18,942	6.6%		—	12,001	49.1%

round 1	1st	per	cent
Poliquin	133,954	46	5.4%
Golden	131,781	45	5.6%
Bond	16,408	5	5.7%
Hoar	6,778	2.3%	
1.0	1 - 1 /0 - 1	I	
round 2	$\mid 1$ st $/2$ nd \mid perc		cent
Poliquin	134,817 47.0%		7.0%
Golden	132,953 46.4%		5.4%
Bond	18,942	,942 6.6%	
round 3	$1 { m st}/2 { m nd}/$	percent	
Poliquin	138,4	49.4%	
Golden	141,769		50.6%

2nd choice of Hoar voters						
Poliquin		863	3	12.6%		
Golden		1,172	2	17.3%		
Bond		2,534	۱	37.4%		
_		2,209)	32.6%		
'						
next pick, Bond/Hoar voters						
Poliquin :		3,593		14.7%		
Golden	8	8,816		36.1%		

— | 12,001

49.1%

Maine's 2nd Congressional District 2018: Bruce Poliquin v Jared Golden v Tiffany Bond v Will Hoar

round 1	1st	per	cent	
Poliquin	133,954	46	5.4%	
Golden	131,781	45	5.6%	
Bond	16,408	5	5.7%	
Hoar	6,778	2	2.3%	
round 2	1st/2nd	per	cent	
Poliquin	134,817	47	7.0%	
Golden	132,953	46	5.4%	
Bond	18,942	1	5.6%	
		'		
round 3	$\mid 1 \mathrm{st}/2 \mathrm{nd}/$	3rd	percent	
Poliquin	138,	410	49.4%	•
Golden	141,	769	50.6%	←winner
	'		1	

2nd choice of Hoar voters						
Poliquin	863	12.6%				
Golden	1,172	17.3%				
Bond	2,534	37.4%				
_	2,209	32.6%				

next pick, Bond/Hoar voters						
Poliquin	3,593	14.7%				
Golden	8,816	36.1%				
_	12,001	49.1%				

Maine's 2nd Congressional District 2018: Bruce Poliquin v Jared Golden v Tiffany Bond v Will Hoar

round 1	1st	percent	2nd c	hoic	e of H	oa	r voters
Poliquin	133,954	46.4%	Poliqu	ıin	863	3	12.6%
Golden	131,781	45.6%	Gold	Golden		:	17.3%
Bond	16,408	5.7%	Во	Bond		-	37.4%
Hoar	6,778	2.3%		—	2,209)	32.6%
						Ċ	
round 2	1st/2nd	percent	next pick	κ, B	ond/H	oa	r voters
Poliquin	134,817	47.0%	Poliquin		3,593		14.7%
Golden	132,953	46.4%	Golden	8	8,816		36.1%
Bond	18,942	6.6%	-	12	2,001		49.1%
	1	1		'	'		

round 3	1st/2nd/3rd	percent	
Poliquin	138,410	49.4%	
Golden	141,769	50.6%	←winner

[★] possible GPA method result: Golden > Bond > Hoar > Poliquin

1860 Presidential Election:

Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

1860 Presidential Election: Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	$3rd^*$	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%
'	'			

1860 Presidential Election:
Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	3rd*	4th*	
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%	
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%	
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%	
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%	

 * William Riker $\it Liberalism$ $\it against$ $\it popularism$

 \star Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell

1860 Presidential Election: Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	$3rd^*$	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%

*William Riker Liberalism against popularism

 \star Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell

*Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)

1860 Presidential Election:
Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	$3rd^*$	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%
	- / -		- , -	

- ★ Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell
 - *Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)
- ★ Bell wins vote-for-two: Bell > Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge

1860 Presidential Election:

Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	3rd*	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%

- \star Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell
 - *Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)
- ★ Bell wins vote-for-two: Bell > Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge
- \star Douglas wins anti-plurality: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge

1860 Presidential Election:

Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	3rd*	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%

- ★ Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell
 *Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)
- ★ Bell wins vote-for-two: Bell > Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge
- **★** Douglas wins anti-plurality: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins GPA: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge

1860 Presidential Election:

Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	3rd*	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%

- ★ Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell
 *Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)
- ★ Bell wins vote-for-two: Bell > Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins anti-plurality: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins GPA: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins ranked-choice: Douglas > Lincoln > Breckenridge > Bell

1860 Presidential Election:

Abraham Lincoln v Stephen Douglas v John Breckenridge v John Bell

	1st	2nd*	3rd*	4th*
Lincoln	40%	14%	16%	30%
Douglas	29%	22%	48%	1%
Breckenridge	18%	18%	2%	61%
Bell	13%	46%	34%	8%

- ★ Lincoln wins plurality*: Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge > Bell
 *Electoral College: Lincoln (180) > Breckenridge (72) > Bell (39) > Douglas (12)
- ★ Bell wins vote-for-two: Bell > Lincoln > Douglas > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins anti-plurality: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins GPA: Douglas > Bell > Lincoln > Breckenridge
- ★ Douglas wins ranked-choice: Douglas > Lincoln > Breckenridge > Bell
- * Any of Bell, Douglas, or Lincoln could have won with approval voting.

three voters prefer Amy > Cory > Liz Beto six voters prefer Amy > Liz > Corv Beto three voters prefer Beto > Corv Liz Amy > five voters prefer Beto > Liz > Cory Amy Cory > Beto Liz two voters prefer > Amy five voters prefer Cory > Liz > Beto Amy two voters prefer Liz > Beto Cory > Amy > Liz Cory > Beto > four voters prefer > Amv

```
three voters prefer
               Amy
                    > Cory >
                                Liz >
                                          Beto
 six voters prefer
               Amy > Liz
                             >
                                 Cory >
                                          Beto
three voters prefer
               Beto > Corv
                                 Liz >
                             >
                                          Amy
               Beto > Liz
 five voters prefer
                             >
                                 Cory
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Cory > Beto > Liz
                                          Amy
 five voters prefer
               Cory > Liz >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Liz > Beto
                                 Cory >
                             >
                                          Amy
                    >
                Liz
four voters prefer
                        Cory >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amv
```

 \star Amy wins plurality: Amy (9) > Beto (8) > Cory (7) > Liz (6)

```
three voters prefer
               Amy
                    > Cory >
                                Liz >
                                          Beto
 six voters prefer
               Amy > Liz
                             >
                                 Corv >
                                          Beto
three voters prefer
               Beto > Corv
                                 Liz >
                             >
                                          Amy
               Beto > Liz
 five voters prefer
                             >
                                 Cory
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Cory > Beto > Liz
                                          Amy
 five voters prefer
               Cory > Liz >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Liz > Beto >
                                 Cory >
                                          Amy
four voters prefer
                Liz
                    > Cory >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amv
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (9) > Beto (8) > Cory (7) > Liz (6)
- * If Liz drops out: Cory (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)

```
three voters prefer
               Amy
                     > Cory >
                                 Liz >
                                           Beto
 six voters prefer
                Amy >
                       Liz
                              >
                                  Corv
                                           Beto
three voters prefer
                Beto > Corv
                                  Liz
                              >
                                           Amy
                Beto > Liz
 five voters prefer
                              >
                                  Corv
                                           Amy
 two voters prefer
                Cory > Beto >
                                 Liz
                                           Amv
                     > Liz >
 five voters prefer
                Corv
                                  Beto
                                           Amy
 two voters prefer
               Liz > Beto >
                                  Cory
                                           Amy
                Liz >
four voters prefer
                        Cory >
                                  Beto
                                           Amv
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (9) > Beto (8) > Cory (7) > Liz (6)
- ★ If Liz drops out: Cory (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Cory drops out: Liz (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Beto drops out: Liz (11) > Cory (10) > Amy (9)
- ★ If Amy drops out: Liz (12) > Cory (10) > Beto (8)

```
three voters prefer
               Amy
                    > Cory >
                                 Liz >
                                          Beto
 six voters prefer
               Amy > Liz
                             >
                                 Cory >
                                          Beto
three voters prefer
               Beto > Corv
                                 Liz >
                             >
                                          Amy
               Beto > Liz
 five voters prefer
                              >
                                 Corv
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Corv > Beto > Liz
                                          Amv
 five voters prefer
               Corv > Liz >
                                 Beto
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Liz > Beto >
                                 Cory
                                          Amy
                Liz > Cory >
four voters prefer
                                 Beto >
                                          Amv
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (9) > Beto (8) > Cory (7) > Liz (6)
- * If Liz drops out: Cory (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Cory drops out: Liz (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Beto drops out: Liz (11) > Cory(10) > Amy(9)
- ★ If Amy drops out: Liz (12) > Cory (10) > Beto (8)
- ★ Liz wins GPA: Liz (58) > Cory (54) > Beto (41) > Amy (27)

```
three voters prefer
               Amy
                                 Liz
                                          Beto
                       Cory >
 six voters prefer
               Amy >
                       Liz
                              >
                                 Cory >
                                          Beto
                             >
three voters prefer
               Beto > Corv
                                 Liz
                                          Amy
                Beto >
                       Liz
 five voters prefer
                                 Cory
                                          Amy
                              >
 two voters prefer
                Cory > Beto > Liz
                                          Amy
 five voters prefer
                Cory > Liz
                              >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amy
 two voters prefer
               Liz > Beto >
                                 Cory >
                                          Amy
four voters prefer
                Liz >
                        Cory >
                                 Beto >
                                          Amv
```

- * Amy wins plurality: Amy (9) > Beto (8) > Cory (7) > Liz (6)
- ★ If Liz drops out: Cory (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
 ★ If Cory drops out: Liz (11) > Beto (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Beto drops out: Liz (11) > Cory (10) > Amy (9)
- * If Amy drops out: Liz (12) > Cory (10) > Beto (8)
- ★ Liz wins GPA: Liz (58) > Cory (54) > Beto (41) > Amy (27)
- \star Cory wins ranked-choice: Cory (20=7+4+9) > Beto (10=8+2+0)

Desired properties:

★ neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win

Desired properties:

- ★ neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win
- ★ anonymous among the voters: all votes count equally

(NOT satisfied by the Electoral College: outcome may change if Mary from Maryland trades votes with Florrie from Florida.)

Desired properties:

- * neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win
- ★ anonymous among the voters: all votes count equally

(NOT satisfied by the Electoral College: outcome may change if Mary from Maryland trades votes with Florrie from Florida.)

★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want

Desired properties:

- ★ neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win
- ★ anonymous among the voters: all votes count equally

(NOT satisfied by the Electoral College: outcome may change if Mary from Maryland trades votes with Florrie from Florida.)

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, consensus decides the outcome

Desired properties:

- ★ neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win
- ★ anonymous among the voters: all votes count equally

(NOT satisfied by the Electoral College: outcome may change if Mary from Maryland trades votes with Florrie from Florida.)

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, consensus decides the outcome
- ★ non-manipulable: a voter can't move Amy above Beto by lying about how they feel about Cory

Desired properties:

- ★ neutral between the candidates: no candidate is more likely to win
- ★ anonymous among the voters: all votes count equally

(NOT satisfied by the Electoral College: outcome may change if Mary from Maryland trades votes with Florrie from Florida.)

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, consensus decides the outcome
- ★ non-manipulable: a voter can't move Amy above Beto by lying about how they feel about Cory

Non-desired properties:

★ dictatorship: Beyoncé gets to pick the winner

Theorem. In an election with more than two candidates, any voting system that is unrestricted, unanimous, and non-manipulable

Theorem. In an election with more than two candidates, any voting system that is unrestricted, unanimous, and non-manipulable must be a dictatorship!

Theorem. In an election with more than two candidates, any voting system that is unrestricted, unanimous, and non-manipulable must be a dictatorship!

Our plurality system is

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, that decides the outcome
- ★ not a dictatorship: no single voter gets to decide the outcome

Theorem. In an election with more than two candidates, any voting system that is unrestricted, unanimous, and non-manipulable must be a dictatorship!

Our plurality system is

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, that decides the outcome
- ★ not a dictatorship: no single voter gets to decide the outcome but it is highly manipulable:

Theorem. In an election with more than two candidates, any voting system that is unrestricted, unanimous, and non-manipulable must be a dictatorship!

Our plurality system is

- ★ unrestricted: voters may vote however they want
- ★ unanimous: if everyone agrees, that decides the outcome
- ★ not a dictatorship: no single voter gets to decide the outcome but it is highly manipulable:

2000 Florida vote totals					
George W. Bush	2,912,790	48.847%			
Al Gore	2,912,253	48.838%			
Ralph Nader	97,488	1.635%			
Pat Buchanan	17,484	0.293%			

If Nader voters had lied about their first choice, they could have changed the result from Bush > Gore to Gore > Bush.

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

★ one vote each for every possible ballot: if 6 voters vote for 3 candidates in each of the 6 possible orders

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

★ one vote each for every possible ballot: if 24 voters vote for 4 candidates in each of the 24 possible orders

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

 \star one vote each for every possible ballot: if n! voters vote for n candidates in each of the n! possible orders

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

- \star one vote each for every possible ballot: if n! voters vote for n candidates in each of the n! possible orders
- ★ a preference cycle: if

```
one voter prefers Amy > Beto > Cory one voter prefers Beto > Cory > Amy one voter prefers Cory > Amy > Beto
```

Saari's test for voting systems:

★ voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

- \star one vote each for every possible ballot: if n! voters vote for n candidates in each of the n! possible orders
- ★ a preference cycle: if

```
one voter prefers Amy > Beto > Cory one voter prefers Beto > Cory > Amy one voter prefers Cory > Amy > Beto
```

★ an opposing pair: if you and I prefer

```
cookie > vanilla > strawberry > chocolate > mint
mint > chocolate > strawberry > vanilla > cookie
```

Saari's test for voting systems:

* voters whose preferences "cancel each other out" should yield ties

Votes that should result in a tied election:

- \star one vote each for every possible ballot: if n! voters vote for n candidates in each of the n! possible orders
- ★ a preference cycle: if

```
one voter prefers Amy > Beto > Cory
one voter prefers Beto > Cory > Amy
one voter prefers Cory > Amy > Beto
```

★ an opposing pair: if you and I prefer

```
cookie > vanilla > strawberry > chocolate > mint
mint > chocolate > strawberry > vanilla > cookie
```

With the GPA method, each of these elections results in a tie — but none of the other methods award ties to opposing pairs of votes.

Conclusions: ★ No voting system is perfect ...

★ No voting system is perfect ...

 \star but many voting systems are better than the current plurality method.

- ★ No voting system is perfect ...
- ★ but many voting systems are better than the current plurality method.
- ★ No voting system will always deliver the result that you want: with nearly any other method Lincoln would have lost in 1860.

- ★ No voting system is perfect ...
- ★ but many voting systems are better than the current plurality method.
- ★ No voting system will always deliver the result that you want: with nearly any other method Lincoln would have lost in 1860.
- ★ But it wouldn't be fair if it did: after all, we don't want to live in a dictatorship!

- ★ No voting system is perfect ...
- ★ but many voting systems are better than the current plurality method.
- ★ No voting system will always deliver the result that you want: with nearly any other method Lincoln would have lost in 1860.
- ★ But it wouldn't be fair if it did: after all, we don't want to live in a dictatorship!
- Mathematical reasoning can be used to assess and compare voting systems, and explain the paradoxical outcomes that might occur.

- ★ No voting system is perfect ...
- ★ but many voting systems are better than the current plurality method.
- ★ No voting system will always deliver the result that you want: with nearly any other method Lincoln would have lost in 1860.
- ★ But it wouldn't be fair if it did: after all, we don't want to live in a dictatorship!
- ★ Mathematical reasoning can be used to assess and compare voting systems, and explain the paradoxical outcomes that might occur.

Election reform matters at all levels:

if you think it is hopeless to reform the Electoral College, perhaps you can amend your local election system or improve the voting procedure for a volunteer organization?

Voting theory is just one of many topics in the mathematics of social choice:

- ★ council elections: which voting systems should be used to fill multiple vacant sets?
- ★ weighted voting systems: used for shareholder voting, the UN security council, and the Electoral College*
- * strategy-proof voting: what methods might encourage voters to vote their true preferences?
- ★ referrenda: what's the best way to structure ballot questions when voters' opinions about one proposition might depend on the outcome of another?
- ★ apportionment: by 2020 census, Ohio should be awarded 15.514 of the 435 seats in the house — so how many seats is that?
- ★ gerrymandering: how can we evaluate whether congressional district lines have been drawn fairly?

Voting theory is just one of many topics in the mathematics of social choice:

- ★ council elections: which voting systems should be used to fill multiple vacant sets?
- ★ weighted voting systems: used for shareholder voting, the UN security council, and the Electoral College*
- * strategy-proof voting: what methods might encourage voters to vote their true preferences?
- * referrenda: what's the best way to structure ballot questions when voters' opinions about one proposition might depend on the outcome of another?
- ★ apportionment: by 2020 census, Ohio should be awarded 15.514 of the 435 seats in the house so how many seats is that?
- ★ gerrymandering: how can we evaluate whether congressional district lines have been drawn fairly?

Thank you!